Rook IIII POOT CAUSE DIVORCE

demands "instant satisfaction" The culture tries to turn on their head the belief that one have sex only when a man and a woman marry.

It is this culture of "instant satisfaction and no patience to wait and give the spouse a chance to change or oneself a chance to change "that is the root cause for divorce.

Moshe Siselsender

The children are the

ones who are hit the

hardest. Instead of having a home with a father and a mother the children now spend part of the time with either the father or the mother or a relative.

If one of the spouses has custody the children will spend most of the time with a parent who has custody and part of the time visiting with the other parent. If both parents have joint custody then the children will spend

approximately half the time with one parent and half the time with the other parent.

It is a proven scientific fact that many children from divorced homes have psychiatric problems. Many children from divorced homes are disturbed and despondent. Many of these children fall into depression that lasts years and possibly a lifetime.

The same result occurs when one or both parents overdose on drugs they die and leave young children into the care of relatives or grandparents. The children experience psychiatric problems. They are depressed they do not function well in school and become emotional cripples.

The underlying fundamental cause for divorce as well as for being on drugs is the fact that individuals do not know

who they are. There exists an identity crisis among marriage aged young adults. The identity crisis is the result of the faulty education and the cultural that we live in.

The cultural environment that we are living in is toxic for marriages.

Big business has nurtured this toxic cultural environment. They nurture the Freudian concept of life. Big business wants people to do whatever impulses strikes them at the moment. What ever their animal feelings lead them to do. Big business encourages such behavior. When women are guided in their selection of clothes- what is considered sexy- that will arouse the hedonistic impulses of the opposite sex they will buy sexy clothes. They will change clothes a few times a day and they will spend as much money as possible purchasing other clothes. They will

spend money for their make up and their hair. Books magazines movies television are all geared to encourage and support this Freudian lifestyle. It becomes accepted practice to have women appear half naked. Everything short of coitus is permissible.

When decent people in the various states pass legislation to curb all businesses allied with sexy clothes industry those who sell the sexy cloth sexy films and TV sue in State and Federal courts to over throw the restrictive legislation.

This Freudian ideology translates into for big business to billions of dollars. Big business fight the restrictive State legislation all the way to the Supreme Court.

The Supreme court is tasked in interpreting the Constitution of the USA. However, there always exists different

ways to interpret the Constitution and the Amendments. The life style past experience and education of the individual judge shapes and determines the decision he/she makes. If the judge has a Freudian lifestyle he/she will side with Big Business to permit all the sexual clothes magazines movies and TV.

If the judge has a greater conservative outlook and ideology of decency they will vote to restrain the sexy clothes magazines movies and TV. Those judges with the Freudian ideology will agree with big business and permit them to peddle their pornography.

Getting back to the topic of divorce. It is the lax attitude in the culture. It is the Freudian culture of pleasure and instant satisfaction. This is the underlying cause of divorce. It is the ease of

getting a divorce that enables women and men to walk out of the marriage regardless of the consequences that follow. If children from divorced homes become emotional cripples or basket cases their parents- one of them or both of them don't care. They do not want to think of the consequences.

They have a feeling that they can have better sex with someone else. They cheat while still married.

Then they get rid of the marriage by divorce.

Children are a burden and an obstacle.

Therefore many people use birth control to prevent the birth of new children.

If they get pregnant they have an abortion to get rid of the problem. Children bring PROBLEMS.

It is interesting that the Supreme Court made abortions legal in the United States in the 1970s

The 1970s is also the date when the sexual revolution took off and broke loose.

People are interested in instant pleasure. People do not have patience to work out problems. People do not have patience to wait for the spouse to change or they themselves will change.

Just like they get rid of unwanted children by using birth control by using abortions so they are willing to cripple emotionally their children that they presently have in order to satisfy their LUST.

In order to have better sex with someone else .They will have another man give them more money. However

the marriage with the other man also will not last after a few years. Either the other man will find another woman .Or else the woman will find an other man. The woman will divorce husband number two and look for

I once remarked to a woman that I am married 53 years. The woman replied I also am married 53 years with five husbands over the last 53 years.

husband number three.

The moral of the story is that we have to understand the cultural background for the epidemic of divorces that exists in the United States and all over the world.

Sexually-transmitted diseases show the effect the Freudian theology the Freudian culture has upon marriages. The only good marriages are ones among individuals who share the same

ideology the same respect for the institution of marriage and children. When one gets married one marries the entire family. Marriage is a union of two families. It is a false unrealistic vision and belief that you only marry the woman you only marry the man. The truth is that you marry the entire family.

It is the duty of the members of the family from both sides the side of the husband and the side of the wife to help out young couples financially morally and to support the marriage and family.

If one of the family members especially the father and the mother seem to be problematic one should not enter the marriage. It is impossible to run away from parents or family of either spouse.

The wife or the husband during the course of the marriage can get ill.

Then the wife or the husband will return to their parent's home. If the parents are against the marriage they will break up the marriage later on. One can have 10 children and the parents of either spouse will, nevertheless, break up the marriage. Therefore it is wise to break up an engagement it one of the parents or other family member is problematic. "Do not lie down in a sickbed with a healthy head".

You don't have to look for problems.

If people get married and have children they have an obligation to the children to remain married even if problems exist between husband and wife.

They must GRIND their teeth remain married for the sake of the children. They must remain married for a period of five six seven years and do

everything in their power to keep the marriage going. They owe this to their children They owe this to themselves.

the contract of

The Chofetz Chaim one of the greatest sages died 1933- at age 95-writes that the reason God in His Providence enabled great scientific discoveries to be made in the 18th and

19th centuries is in order to counter atheism and disbelief in traditional values. With the spread of equality and freedom all groups who previously hid in the closet emerged and demanded recognition. Thus we have the emergence of groups representing homosexual lesbians and transgenders into the open. .

The homosexual lesbians were the first group to achieve recognition when the supreme Court voted to legalize same sex marriages in 2013. They bypassed winning legalization in the individual states. Instead they sued in the Supreme Court and won. As a matter of fact only 13 states approved same sex marriages. The chief justice explivitly stated that the Constitution is silent regarding same sex marriages. For the last 235 years all Americans and the Supreme Court accepted the traditional

interpretation of marriage being between a male and a female. Next they will make it legal to call marriage between a brother and his sister. Or between a mother and her son. Or a father and his daughter. Why not abolish all incest in marriage, just like the Court approved same sex marriages? Why not go further and permit bestiality? Marriage between humans and animals? The decision of the Court is absurd and comical if it was not so tragic and short sighted. It tragically lacking to take into consideration the consequences that I enumerate.

The decision by the Supreme Court is problematic. It represents the usurpation by the supreme Court of the legislative branch of the States and the Federal government. The Supreme Court is tasked with interpreting the

Constitution and not to legislate. The ruling of a majority of the justices force all states to grant recognition for same sex marriages.

Thu the teachings of the Chofetz Chaim are verified. Modern statistics confirm the teachings of the Bible.

Death is the risk of one who exposes themselves to sex outside of marriage.

This does not mean that society should not treat medically individual who have a different sexual orientation nor deny them equal rights. However for the sake of honesty, society is forbidden to hide and not mention the health risks of a different sexual orientation.

As far as Jewish law there does not exists a place for same sex marriages. Jewish law does not recognize transgenders- different sexual identity than one given by God at birth.

Every man recites a blessing in the morning: "Thank you God that I was not

created a woman." Every woman recites :" Thank you God that you created me according to your wlll."

Rav Moshe Feinstein the greatest sage of the 20th century encouraged his students to have pride of themselves. One of his disciples whom he ordained was having marital problems . before he got married he sensed that his future wife was very attached to her parents. She could not say no . She always subordinated her thinking to what her parents wanted. He sensed that her parents were not too friendly to him. Instead of breaking up, he proceeded and got married. He succeeded to find a Rabbinical position 1000 miles from the residence of his in-laws. However unfortunately, after 7 months his wife got ill. She returned to her parent's home. She was pregnant. During her pregnancy she was bed ridden. After his contract ended the husband took another position and visited with his wife and her parent weekends. During his visits the in-laws insulted the husband and treated him like dirt in front of his wife. During the pregnancy the in-laws convinced their daughter not to return to her husband.

Rav Feinstein advised the husband to get divorced and not visit the house of his in-laws and be subject to abuse. The parents fabricated that the husband was responsible for their daughter getting ill.

The father tried to do everything to save the marriage. He enrolled in a university becoming a professional. He changed his livelihood from being a rabbi and teacher.

The father followed the advice of Rav Moshe Feinstein and did not visit the residence of his in-laws. He was

physically not present. There did not exist any possibility that he would retaliate in any manner that is deemed against the civil or criminal law. The inlaws and wife could not fabricate when she sued for a civil divorce-that she didthat he violated the law. He could prove that he was not present. So how could hebe in violation of civil or criminal law. He taped his phone conversations.

However the husband refused to give his wife a Jewish divorce contrary to the advise given by Rav Moshe Feinstein. The husband for the sake of his son wanted to find out perhaps his wife and in-laws would have a change of heart seeing that he presently changed his livelihood to a professional rather than a rabbi and teacher.

After begging his wife unsuccessfully for several years to return to him, he finally gave her a Jewish divorce.

There can not exist a marriage where respect for the spouse is missing.

So too, every individual should be proud of who he/she is as created by God.

One must be proud of what God gave him/her one's sex, color of skin, religion, national origin, disability, age. Just as no one is permitted by law to discriminate against one on the basis of the above mentioned, so too, an individual should not discriminate against himself / herself on the basis of the above mentioned.

There exists today an epidemic of freedom gone mad and crazy. This the report card of our culture.

We live in an era and culture that

demands "instant satisfaction" The culture tries to turn on their head the belief that one have sex only when a man and a woman marry.

It is this culture of "instant satisfaction and no patience to wait and give the spouse a chance to change or oneself a chance to change " that is the root cause for divorce.